

Figure 1.

extremely disagreeable liquid blistering agent which dimerizes spontaneously in aqueous solution to yield crystalline anemonin (III or IV). The latter possesses no vesicant properties. Anemonin was first isolated in 1792 by Heyer.<sup>2</sup> Asahina<sup>3,4</sup> established that protoanemonin (II) is the lactone of  $\gamma$ -hydroxyvinylacrylic acid. This was based upon synthesis of II and demonstration that the synthetic material underwent dimerization to yield anemonin. Of the numerous conceivable cyclodimerization products, Asahina proposed that anemonin possessed the 1,2-dihydroxy-1,2-cyclobutanediacrylic acid dilactone structure.



Anemonin has never been related by chemical methods to a cyclobutane derivative of known structure. The stereochemistry of the dilactone rings has been assumed to be *cis* (III); this conclusion has also been deduced from chemical evidence.<sup>5</sup> While the "head-to-head" dimeric structure appeared fairly certain, the evidence for the stereochemical relationship of the dilactone rings was rather unconvincing.<sup>5</sup>

The fact that only one cyclodimer is formed from protoanemonin (II) is remarkable in that at least 12 cyclobutane structures are possible for various combinations of the monomer. This large degree of stereoselectivity provoked our interest in the structure of anemonin, particularly in that the structure has especial pertinence in the more general problem of thermal cyclodimerization reactions of olefins.<sup>6</sup> Therefore a crystal of anemonin<sup>7</sup> was investigated by X-ray diffraction in order to determine the structure of the molecule, in particular the stereoconfiguration of the lactone rings.

Anemonin crystallizes in the orthorhombic system, space group Pbca, with cell dimensions  $a = 11.65 \pm 0.02$  Å.,  $b = 13.86 \pm 0.03$  Å., and  $c = 11.07 \pm 0.02$  Å., and 8 molecules in the unit cell. The computed and observed densities are 1.418 and 1.428 g./cm.<sup>3</sup>,

(2) M. Heyer, Chemisch Journ. V. Crell, 2, 102 (1792); A. D. Wurtz, "Dictionnaire de Chemie," Vol. I, Librarie Hackette et C, Paris, 1870, p. 299.



(4) For a discussion of the chemical transformations of anemonin see R. A. Raphael in Chemistry of Carbon Compounds, Vol. IIa, E. H. Rodd, Ed., Elsevier Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1953, pp. 67-70.

(5) J. E. Harris, Dissertation Abstr., 20, 887 (1959).

(6) J. D. Roberts and C. M. Sharts, Org. Reactions, 12, 2 (1962).

(7) E. Shaw, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 68, 2510 (1946).

respectively. Three-dimensional intensity data were collected using the equi-inclination Weissenberg technique with Ni-filtered Cu radiation. The crystal structure was solved by obtaining phases directly from the structure factor magnitudes by the use of the symbolic addition procedure.<sup>8</sup> All the hydrogen atoms were located from a difference map. A least-squares refinement of the coordinates and anisotropic thermal factors using 1436 data resulted in an *R* factor of 13.0%.

The crystal structure determination shows that the molecule is in the *trans* configuration (IV, Figure 1). It also shows that the cyclobutane ring is not planar but assumes a bent configuration



with a dihedral angle of  $152^{\circ}$ . The C-C bond lengths in the cyclobutane ring range from 1.530 to 1.545 Å., a normal range for single bonds.

Selective formation of the 1,2-disubstituted adduct probably results from the stability of diradical V. This head-to-head attachment allows for the maximum delocalization of the two unpaired electrons with the adjacent unsaturated groups. The *trans* relationship of the two lactone rings may be rationalized on the basis of lowered destabilizing dipolar interactions in



the transition state for its formation.

In the transition state for ring closure to yield the *cis* dilactone, the two polar lactone groups are adjacent to each other and also are pointed in the same direction. One would expect this arrangement to be less stable relative to the *trans* conformation because of the electronic instability associated with the promixity of the polar groups. In the *trans* case the lactone dipoles are opposed and the destabilizing dipolar interaction is minimized.

(8) I. L. Karle and J. Karle, Acta Cryst., 16, 969 (1963).

Robert M. Moriarty, C. R. Romain Catholic University of America Washington, D. C.

I. L. Karle, J. Karle U. S. Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D. C. Received May 17, 1965

## The Estimation of the Angle of Twist for a Cyclobutane Derivative by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Sir:

In a preceding communication<sup>1</sup> the 1,1,2,2,-substituted cyclobutane ring of anemonin is reported to be puckered. The angle of twist,  $\alpha$ , about the twofold axis C<sub>2</sub> (Figure 1) is calculated from the X-ray data to be 9.9  $\pm$  0.3°. In this communication we wish to report that the angle of twist,  $\alpha$ , can also be estimated (1) R. M. Moriarty, C. R. Romain, I. L. Karle, and J. Karle, J. Am.

(1) R. M. Moriarty, C. R. Romain, I. L. Karle, and J. Karle, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87, 3251 (1965).





independently from the n.m.r. spectrum of the cyclobutane ring protons of anemonin.

As expected, an  $A_2B_2$  type spectrum was observed for the -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>- portion of the cyclobutane ring (Figure 2). This pattern requires the presence of twofold symmetry. The parameters of the spectrum are:  $\delta = 0.1716$  p.p.m.,  $J_a = 10.72$  c.p.s.,  $J_b = 2.24$ c.p.s.,  $J_1 = 10.19$  c.p.s., and  $J_2 = -12.15$  c.p.s.  $J_a$ and  $J_{\rm b}$  are coupling constants between equivalent protons and  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  are coupling constants between nonequivalent protons.  $J_a$  and  $J_b$  will then be either the two vicinal cis coupling constants in the case of the cis isomer, or the two trans coupling constants in the case of the trans isomer. Vicinal coupling constants are a function of dihedral angles.<sup>2</sup> In the *cis* compound where the members of each pair of equivalent protons are very nearly eclipsed ( $\vartheta_a \approx \vartheta_b \approx 0$ ),  $J_a$  and  $J_b$  will be of similar magnitude, but in a trans compound, where the dihedral angles  $\vartheta_+$  and  $\vartheta_-$  (Figure 1) need not be equal,  $J_a$  and  $J_b$ , which are now *trans* coupling constants, can be quite different. In fact, in the present case they differ by about 8 c.p.s.; a difference of this magnitude has not been reported as yet for vicinal proton coupling constants in cyclobutanes.

This immediately suggested a trans configuration for anemonin, as indeed was found to be the case.<sup>1</sup> Furthermore, a comparison of the two trans coupling constants  $J_a$  and  $J_b$  allows one to estimate the angle of twist,  $\alpha$ , of the cyclobutane ring. For this purpose we assume that the cyclobutane ring is very nearly a (twisted) square, which is borne out by the X-ray data,<sup>3</sup> and that the  $H_a'C'H_b'$  and the  $H_a''C''H_b''$ planes bisect the CC'C' and CC''C' angles, respectively; that is, that C<sub>2v</sub> symmetry prevails about the carbon atoms C' and C''. Then the two dihedral angles  $\vartheta_+$  and  $\vartheta_-$  can be related with the  $H_a'C'H_b'$ and  $H_a''C''H_b''$  angle and the angle of twist by

$$\cos \vartheta_{\pm} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} - \tan^2 (\frac{1}{2} \varphi \pm \alpha)}{\frac{1}{2} + \tan^2 (\frac{1}{2} \varphi \pm \alpha)}$$

A simplified Karplus relation  $J = A \cos^2 \vartheta \operatorname{can} \operatorname{now} \operatorname{be}$ used to estimate the angle of twist for a reasonable range of  $\varphi$  values between 110 and 114° (also suggested by the X-ray data<sup>3</sup>). The inherent uncertainty of Ais eliminated by using the ratio of  $J_a$  and  $J_b$ . This procedure is justified because we are dealing with dihedral angles in the same molecule which both lie between 90 and 180°.



Figure 2. N.m.r. spectrum (100 Mc.) of -CH2-CH2- protons of anemonin (concentrated CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution).

From the above considerations we estimate the angle of twist to be between 9 and 11°. This is in excellent agreement with the figure of 9.9  $\pm$  0.3° derived from X-ray data.<sup>3</sup> Encouraged by this agreement, we are investigating similar cyclobutane systems in order to test the general validity of this method.

Acknowledgment. We are indebted to Dr. W. B. Moniz, U. S. Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D. C., for the fine 100 Mc. spectra, and to Drs. S. Castellano and A. A. Bothner-By, Mellon Institute, Pittsburgh, Pa., for making available the computer program LAOCOON II, by which the final n.m.r. parameters were obtained.

Ernest Lustig

Food and Drug Administration Washington, D. C.

Robert M. Moriarty Catholic University of America Washington, D. C. Received May 17, 1965

## Cyclobutadiene

Sir:

Although diene-iron tricarbonyl complexes are usually thermally stable and relatively inert chemically, nonetheless they can be readily decomposed at low temperatures, with liberation of the free diene, upon treatment with such oxidizing agents as ferric or ceric ions. We have previously taken advantage of this feature for the preparation of dienes not readily available by more standard procedures.<sup>1</sup> The purpose of this note is to present evidence that this same reaction sequence allows for the preparation of cyclobutadiene.<sup>2</sup>

Initial studies showed that cyclobutadieneiron tricarbonyl (I) decomposed in the presence of ceric ions to give, together with other materials, a dimer of cyclobutadiene.<sup>3</sup> It has now been shown that, when conducted in the presence of acetylenic compounds, the



(1) J. D. Holmes and R. Pettit, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 2531 (1963); D. H. Gibson and R. Pettit, ibid., 87, 2620 (1965).

(2) For leading reference to recent attempts to prepare cyclobutadiene see M. A. Avram, I. G. Dinulescu, E. Marica, G. Mateescu, E. Sliam, and C. D. Nenitzescu, *Ber.*, 97, 382 (1964). Reference to the pertinent earlier work is given by E. Vogel, *Angew. Chem.*, 72, 4 (1960); W. Baker and J. F. W. McOmie in "Non-Benzenoid Aromatic Compounds," D. Ginsburg, Ed., Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1959,

p. 43. (3) G. F. Emerson, L. Watts, and R. Pettit, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87, 133 (1965).

<sup>(2)</sup> M. Karplus, J. Chem. Phys., 30, 11 (1959); cf. also M. Karplus, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 2870 (1963), and references therein. (3) I. L. Karle and J. Karle, private communication.